

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,679		12/10/2001	Takeshi Araki	401502	1583	
23548	7590	11/27/2002				
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW				EXAMINER		
SUITE 300				SHEEHAN	, JOHN P	
WASHING	ron, dc	20005-3960		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1742		
				DATE MAILED: 11/27/2002	Ø	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			G.
f	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/006,679	ARAKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John P. Sheehan	1742	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cov r sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of to od will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undo Disposition of Claims			nerits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	ın		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-3 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No. <u>09/392,787</u> .	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ige
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		plication).
a) The translation of the foreign language p	provisional application has	been received.	•
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome Attachment(s)	sale priority under 35 U.S.	C. 39 120 aliu/01 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (P10-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PT0-1	
Potent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/006,679

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 and 2, drawn to a thin film magnet containing Nd, Fe and B comprising an amorphous phase and an Nd₂Fe₁₄B phase, classified in class 148, subclass 302.
 - II. Claim 3, drawn to a method of making a thin magnet containing Nd, Fe and B comprising an amorphous phase and an Nd₂Fe₁₄B phase on a substrate, classified in class 148, subclass 101.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as for example melt spinning the molten alloy instead of a physical deposition method as recited in the claims. While it is noted that claims 1 and 2 are product-by-process claims and incorporate the same process steps as described in process Group II claim 3, a product defined by the process by which it can be made is still a product claim (In re Bridgeford, 149 USPQ 55 (CCPA 1966)) and can be restricted from the process if the examiner can demonstrate that the product as

Application/Control Number: 10/006,679

Art Unit: 1742

claimed can be made by another materially different process such as the alternative process described above. See In re Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q 685, and In re Fessmann, 180 U.S.P.Q. 324, for analysis of weight given to process step recitations in product claims.

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have 3. acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Jeffrey A. Wyand on November 25, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Sheehan whose telephone number is (703)

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/006,679

Art Unit: 1742

The control Hamber. To occup, o

308-3861. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (6:30-5:00) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (703) 308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

/John P. Sheehan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

jps November 26, 2002